

ADDENDUM NO. 2
to
CITY OF HIGHLAND
ENGINEERING AND ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES RFP
for the
BOULDER AVENUE IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT
City Project No. brg04004a

October 10, 2016

THE REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL FOR THE PROJECT IS HEREBY REVISED AS FOLLOWS:

1. On page 2 of the RFP, under Section I in the Events Calendar, the Proposal Due Date is revised from October 12, 2016 to October 19, 2016 before 5:30 p.m.
2. On page 3 of the RFP, under DBE Requirements, in the sixth sentence, the DBE contract goal is revised from 15% to 14%.
3. The following questions have been asked and answered and are therefore made part of the RFP:

Q1) Good Monday Afternoon! Just wanted to confirm. We recall that at one time the City had talked about completing the traffic signal interconnect along Boulder Avenue. We didn't see that request in the scope of work items of the RFP. Could you please advise if that was already done by someone else or the City has decided it doesn't need it anymore?

A1) You are correct, the signal interconnect was at one time planned to be included in the subject project. However, the signal interconnect on Boulder Avenue is currently under design in another Project that includes signal timing throughout various parts of the City. It is no longer a part of the subject project.

Q2) Is there any more recent CEQA document for the Boulder Avenue Bridge Replacement Project? We found a copy of a December 11, 2008, Initial Study Mitigated Negative Declaration prepared by LSA Associates. However, since the bridge project did not proceed to construction until several years later, we wanted to make sure we had the final CEQA documents on the bridge project to use as reference.

A2) A copy of Caltrans Categorical Exclusion letter is attached.

Q3) Does the City have any of the Caltrans approvals/NEPA documents from the bridge project or can the City advise us where we can obtain copies?

A3) See response A2 above.

Q4) It appears that the Upper Santa Ana Wash Land Management and Habitat Conservation Plan (Wash Plan) is just south of the Proposed Project that is the subject of the RFP. Can the City confirm this or is a portion of the Proposed Project within the Wash Plan?

A4) No part of this project is located within Wash Plan.

Q5) Please confirm there is no new widening or new lanes proposed.

A5) *Confirmed.*

Q6) Paragraph 2, Understanding and Approach, and Paragraph 3, Scope of Work, request consultant's understanding of issues and objectives, methodology and approach proposed, and a comprehensive description of activities proposed to complete the project. Paragraph 3 continues on to request that consultant provide a project schedule. Paragraph 6, Work Plan and Schedule, requests a description of how each task will be conducted with identification of deliverables for each task. Given the 20-page limit, could the City please clarify whether the description of activities and how each task will be conducted should be included in responses to Paragraph 3, 6, or both?.

A6) Description of activities and how each task will be conducted should be included in Paragraph 3.

Q7) Should the project schedule be placed in the response to Paragraph 3, Scope of Work, or in response to Paragraph 6, Work Plan and Schedule?

A7) The project schedule can be included in either section but only once.

Q8) Since Exhibit 10-O2 contains fee information, should Exhibits 10-O1 and 10-O2 be included in the separate, sealed envelope with the cost proposal?

A8) Yes, this exhibit should be included with the cost proposal.

Q9) Does Exhibit 10-Q need to be submitted with the proposal or will it be required only from the selected consultant?

A9) *This exhibit needs to be submitted with the proposal.*

Q10) Should Exhibit 10-K be included in the technical proposal or be included in the separate, sealed envelope with the cost proposal?

A10) *Submit this exhibit with the cost proposal.*

Q11) If required Forms 10-O1, 10-O2, 15-H as applicable, 10-K, and 10-Q are required to be placed in the technical proposal, will they count toward the 20-page limit and where in the technical proposal should they be placed?

A11) *These documents will not be counted toward the 20-page limit.*

Q12) The segment of Boulder Avenue between Webster and Greenspot Rd appears to have recently received median improvements in connection with the residential subdivision on the east side. Does the City have survey coverage along this stretch of Boulder that can be used? Our intent is to help reduce survey cost for the City if feasible.

A12) *No.*

Q13) What are expectation of curb ramps? To be retrofitted with domes? What about surveying ramps that are too steep?

A13) *Curb ramps within project limits shall be modified as necessary to meet current ADA standards.*

Q14) What is Caltrans involvement for PS&E? All or only 330?

A14) *Caltrans will not be involved in the PS&E phase except as required to obtain an encroachment permit under SR-330, and as required in the process for obtaining an E-76 for construction.*

Q15) Did Caltrans complete a post-construction survey (relating to the Bridge project).

A15) *No.*

Q16) Geotech- what standards/specifications do you use for overlays or rehabilitation projects?

A16) *The project includes some rehabilitation work and new match-up pavement sections adjoining construction of median curbs. The consultant is to determine needs and make recommendations.*

Q17) Looked at Circulation Element. This section of Boulder is supposed to be "Modified Primary Arterial"? Do we match?

A17) *Boulder Avenue is a modified primary arterial. The consultant shall design striping and curb medians to match existing geometrics, and as shown in the AECOM As-Builts.*

Q18) **Standard Plans?**

A18) *The project shall be designed and prepared in accordance with the City of Highland Public Works Standards and Procedures Manual, available by clicking on the following link:
www.cityofhighland.org/Standards*

Q19) **Addendum(s) and DBE forms- included in page count? Is it okay to include in separate appendix.**

A19) *Addenda and DBE forms will not be included in the page count, and yes they may be included in separate appendix.*

Q20) **III.9 says “driveway modifications” and traffic signal modifications”, but thos aren’t described in III.1 (Specific Scope of Design). Why not.**

A20) *As standard practice the consultant is required to modify driveways adjoining the proposed improvements as necessary to join with the new improvements. The traffic signal modifications are as required in the installation of the in-roadway bicycle detection systems identified in II.1.*

Q21) **MSRC shows traffic signal interconnect improvements, not in this scope. Should it be?**

A21) *The signal interconnect was at one time planned to be included in the project. However, the signal interconnect on Boulder Avenue is currently under design in another Project that includes signal timing throughout various parts of the City. It is no longer a part of the project.*

Q22) **In note about AECOM plans, it says items c-g are done, but c, d, f are outside of AECOM project limits.**

A22) *The note intended to state that portions of the design and portions of the construction within the current project limits are complete. The intent is to complete the improvements within the limits described by this project, on Boulder Avenue from Highland Avenue to Greenspot Road.*

Q23) **Fees \$155k to \$156,800 in grant proposals. Do scopes in grant match scope in RFP?**

A23) *Yes, with the exception that the traffic signal interconnect has been deleted from this project. .*

Q24) **Can the Team Organizational Chart be on a 11”x17” page?**

A24) *Yes.*

ALL PROPOSALS SHALL INDICATE RECEIPT OF THIS ADDENDUM BY SIGNING BELOW AND INCLUDE THIS SHEET WITH THEIR PROPOSAL.

(Firm’s Authorized Representative Signature Here)

Date